# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

# INDICTMENT FOR WIRE FRAUD, AND MISUSE OF A SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA \* CRIMINAL DOCKET NO.

v. \* SECTION:

STEVEN R. HANSEN \* VIOLATIONS: 18 U.S.C. §1343
18 U.S.C. § 2

\* 42 U.S.C. §408(a)(7)(B)

\* \* \*

The Grand Jury charges that:

#### COUNTS 1-4

### A. <u>AT ALL TIMES MATERIAL HEREIN:</u>

- \_\_\_\_\_1. The defendant, **STEVEN R. HANSEN**, operated a business in the Eastern District of Louisiana know as Modern Technology Inc., (hereinafter, "MTI")
- 2. Bizfleet Services, LLC, (hereinafter, Bizfleet) was a Florida business which arranged for the financing, leasing and purchasing of cars.
- 3. Brian Harris BMW was a Baton Rouge, Louisiana business which leased cars and which used BMW Financial services in New Jersey to process applications.

## B. THE WIRE FRAUD SCHEME

From a time unknown to the grand jury, but prior to on or about October 1, 2004, and continuing until at least on or about December 31, 2004, in the Eastern District of Louisiana and elsewhere, the defendant, **STEVEN R. HANSEN**, willfully and knowingly devised and intended to devise a scheme and artifice to obtain property, that is, automobiles from Bizfleet and Brian Harris BMW by means of false and fraudulent pretenses, representations and promises through the use of wire communications in interstate commerce and for the purpose of executing and attempting to execute the scheme and artifice, transmitted and caused to be transmitted certain signs, signals, and sounds, that is facsimiles and electronic transmissions from MTI as set forth in Paragraph C.

It was part of the scheme and artifice that the defendant, **STEVEN R. HANSEN** attempted to lease or acquire possession of automobiles for the use of his company MTI knowing that if he provided truthful information his applications would not be successful.

It was further part of the scheme and artifice that the defendant **STEVEN R. HANSEN** used his employees to assist him in submitting false documents to the companies.

It was further part of the scheme and artifice that the defendant assumed the identity of another individual and submitted false documents in that individuals name to the companies.

# C. THE USE OF THE WIRES

COUNT	ON OR ABOUT	ТО	DOCUMENT
1	11-11-04	Bizfleet (FL)	Credit application
2	11-11-04	Bizfleet (FL)	MTI tax return
3	11-11-04	Bizfleet (FL)	MTI bank statement
4	11-29-04	BMW Financial Services (NJ)	Financial application

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections1343 and 2.

### COUNT 5

On or about November 29, 2004, in the Eastern District of Louisiana, the defendant, **STEVEN R. HANSEN**, with the intent to deceive and for the purpose of obtaining for himself or other persons something of value from any person, or for any other purpose, knowingly and falsely represented a social security account number (that being XXX-XX-6536) to be the social security account number assigned to him by the Commissioner of Social Security, when in fact, as the defendant then and there knew, such number was not the social security account number assigned to him by the Commissioner of Social Security to him; all in violation of Title 42, United States Code, Section 408(a)(7)(B) and Title 18 United States Code, Section 2.

#### NOTICE OF FORFEITURE

- 1. The allegations of Counts 1through 4 of this indictment are re-alleged and incorporated by reference as though set forth fully herein for the purpose of alleging forfeiture to the United States of America pursuant to the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, 1343, and 981(a)(1)(C), made applicable through Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461.
- 2. As a result of the offenses alleged in Counts 1 through 4, defendant, **STEVEN R. HANSEN**, shall forfeit to the United States pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C), made applicable through Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461, any and all property, real or personal, which constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1343.
- 3. If any of the property subject to forfeiture pursuant to Paragraph 2 of this Notice of Forfeiture, as a result of any act or omission of the defendant:
  - a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
  - b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third person;
  - c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Court;
  - d. has been substantially diminished in value; or
  - e. has been commingled with other property which cannot be subdivided without difficulty;

it is the intent of the United States, pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), to seek forfeiture of any other property of said defendant up to the value of the above forfeitable property.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1343, and 981(a)(1)(C), made applicable through Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461.

	A TRUE BILL:
	FOREPERSON
JIM LETTEN	_
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY	
Bar Roll No. 8517	
JAN MASELLI MANN Chief, Criminal Division	_
Bar Roll No. 9020	
TONY GORDON SANDERS	_
Assistant United States Attorney	
Bar Roll No. 11705	

New Orleans, Louisiana January 8, 2009